

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants vehemently dispute the propriety of the rejections in the Office Action mailed July 27, 2007 and all assertions therein. Just by way of example, the Examiner rejected all pending claims for obviousness based upon on picking and choosing isolated statements from three vastly different prior art references - none of which attempt to address the problem solved by the present invention. Even worse, the "picking and choosing" is from references which teach designs and manufacturing processes not suitable for the creation of a titanium compressor wheel with the claimed attributes. Nonetheless, for reasons unrelated to the rejections or the patentability of the application, Applicants hereby expressly abandon Application Serial No. 10/661,271. This abandonment is made without prejudice and is not intended as an abandonment of the subject matter claimed and disclosed in this application.

Date: January 25, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Stephan A. Pendorf
Registration No. 32,665
Customer No. 30448
Akerman Senterfitt
222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone: 561-653-5000
Fax: 561-659-6313